Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 OECD P 30657 01 OF 02 201742Z

42.

ACTION EB-07

INFO OCT-01 CIAE-00 COME-00 DODE-00 NSAE-00 RSC-01 TRSE-00

EUR-12 AEC-05 ISO-00 EA-06 MC-01 ACDA-05 /038 W

----- 126861

R 201729Z DEC 74

FM USMISSION OECD PARIS

TO SECSTATE WASH DC 4845

CONFIDENTIAL SECTION 01 OF 02 OECD PARIS 30657

EXCON

E.O. 11652: XGDS1 TAGS: ESTC, COCOM

SUBJECT: COCOM LIST REVIEW - ROUND I ATMOSPHERICS

REF: OECD PARIS 30177

- 1. THE REFERENCED TELEGRAM GAVE A QUANTATIVE REPORT ON ROUND I; THIS ONE WILL SEEK TO GIVE THE CONCLUSION OF THE USDEL AND WASH TEAM ON THE PSYCHOLOGICAL CLIMATE.
- 2. BY AND LARGE, ROUND I WAS CHARACTERIZED BY THE VISIBLE DETERMINATION OF ALL TO MAKE THE OPENING ROUND A HARBINGER OF A SUCCESSFUL REVIEW. THE QUALITY OF EXPERTS FIELDED BY THE VARIOUS DELEGATIONS VARIED, BUT MOST WERE TOP QUALITY PARTICULARLY IN THE DISCUSSIONS OF THE MACHINE TOOL AND ELECTRONICS AREAS. INTERESTINGLY, THE BUDGET PINCH AFFECTED MANY. WASH TEAM MEMBERS CAN RECOUNT HOW THE JAPANESE EXPERTS CAME ON A JAL HONEYMOON CHARTER, AND THE ITALIAN DEL INFORMED US PRIVATELY THAT THEIR TOP-NOTCH EXPERT ON NUMERICAL CONTROL EQUIPMENT WAS UNABLE TO COME BECAUSE FUNDS HAD RUN OUT.
- 3. ONCE CERTAIN DELS HAD RELIEVED THEMSELVES OF TART COMMENTS ON US INABILITY TO STATE POSITIONS (E.G., CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 OECD P 30657 01 OF 02 201742Z

ON IL 1416, OECD PARIS 26553), THE COMMITTEE SETTLED

DOWN TO FOREBEARANCE IN THOSE SEVERAL INSTANCES WHEN OUR ONLY INSTRUCTIONS WERE "RESERVE AND REPORT". THE WILLINGNESS OF THE US TO LISTEN TO AND TRY TO UNDER STAND THE JUSTIFICATIONS ADDUCED BY OTHER DELEGATIONS FOR PROPOSED CHANGES (LARGELY RELAXATIONS) CONTRIBUTED TO A LACK OF THE SORT OF SHARP EXCHANGES WHICH WE UNDERSTAND WERE FOUND IN OTHER REVIEWS. 4. ALSO SATISFYING FROM THE US STANDPOINT WAS THE FIRMNESS DISPLAYED BY, FOR EXAMPLE, THE DUTCH, FRENCH. CANADIAN, AND UK DELEGATIONS IN CURBING SOME OF THE MORE EXTREME PROPOSALS FOR DELETION AND LIBERALIZATION IN THOSE AREAS WHERE MILITARY USAGE REMAINS A MAJOR CONCERN (IL 1501, 1510, 1564, ETC). PARTICULARLY HELP-FUL IN THIS RESPECT WERE INTERVENTIONS BY THE LATTER THREE DELEGATIONS (ON DIFFERENT ITEMS, OF COURSE) WITH INFORMATION ON LOWER BLOC CAPABILITY THAN THE PROPOSING DEL MAY HAVE CLAIMED. REGRETTABLY, IN MOST OF THESE INSTANCES, WE DID NOT HAVE INTELLIGENCE STATEMENTS WHICH WOULD HAVE ENABLED US TO MAKE THE SAME POINTS.

5. AFTER HAMMERING FAIRLY HEAVILY EARLY IN THE ROUND ON THE ISSUE OF APPLICABILITY (OR NOT) OF THE COCOM STRATEGIC CRITERIA, THIS POINT WAS RAISED LESS OFTEN AS THE ROUND PROCEDED. NEVERTHELESS, WE WOULD DO WELL IN OUR PREPARATION FOR ROUND II TO MAKE SURE THAT OUR PROPOSED POSITIONS ARE TESTED AGAINST THESE CRITERIA (RESTATED IN OECD PARIS 25598) AND THAT THE TECHNICAL TASK GROUPS ALSO HAVE THEM IN MIND WHEN PREPARING THEIR

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 OECD P 30657 02 OF 02 201804Z

42

ACTION EB-07

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 ISO-00 EA-06 MC-01 ACDA-05 DODE-00

NSAE-00 RSC-01 TRSE-00 AEC-05 CIAE-00 COME-00 /038 W

R 201729Z DEC 74

FM USMISSION OECD PARIS

TO SECSTATE WASH DC 4846

CONFIDENTIAL SECTION 02 OF 02 OECD PARIS 30657

REPORTS. IDEALLY, IF, IN THE NEXT ROUND OR ROUNDS IT BECOMES NECESSARY TO OBJECT TO A PROPOSAL, THE INSTRUCTIONS TO THE USDEL SHOULD INCLUDE MENTION OF THE CRITERIA WHICH APPLIES.

- 6. ALL IN ALL, THE US CAN BE SATISFIED WITH THE OUTCOME OF ROUND I. IT IS IMPORTANT, THOUGH, THAT THE TONE OF COOPERATION BE CONTINUED IN THE SECOND (AND HOPEFULLY, LAST) ROUND, AND THAT WE CONTINUE TO LEAVE THE IMPRESSION THAT THE USG HAS GIVEN FULL AND SYMPATHETIC CONSIDERATION TO THE PROPOSALS OF OTHER DELEGATIONS. OBVIOUSLY, THIS IS ALSO IN THE INTEREST OF ACHIEVING ACCEPTANCE OUR PROPOSED ADD-ONS.
- 7. WE WOULD URGE AGAIN THAT, FROM PURELY PRACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS, WE RECEIVE INSTRUCTIONS TO CIRCULATE COUNTER-PROPOSALS AS FAR AS POSSIBLE IN ADVANCE OF THE SCHEDULED DATE OF DISCUSSION OF THE ITEM. EARLY CIRCULATION NOT ONLY ASSURES A BETTER RECEPTION (AND PROMPTER POSITIONS) FROM OTHER DELS, BUT ALSO MORE CAREFUL EDITING AND REPRODUCTION BY USDEL THAN IF RECEIVED TOO CLOSE TO THE WIRE.

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: STRATEGIC TRADE CONTROLS, EXCEPTIONS LIST, MEETINGS, EMBARGO LISTS

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 20 DEC 1974 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: CunninFX
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1974OFCDP30657

Document Number: 1974OECDP30657 Document Source: CORE Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a **Executive Order:** X1 Errors: N/A Film Number: D740371-0227

From: OECD PARIS Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1974/newtext/t19741219/aaaaapvj.tel Line Count: 142 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM

Office: ACTION EB Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: 74 OECD PARIS 30177 Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: CunninFX

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 06 MAY 2002

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <06 MAY 2002 by boyleja>; APPROVED <28 MAR 2003 by CunninFX>

Review Markings:

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: COCOM LIST REVIEW - ROUND I ATMOSPHERICS TAGS: ESTC, COCOM

To: STATE

Type: TE

Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005